# Morphology of Coalescence Processes: Secondary Fracture, Cracks and Spherulites

## František Lednický

Institute of Macromolecular Chemistry, Czechoslovak Academy of Sciences, 162 06 Prague 6, Czechoslovakia

## Summary

Similarity in the shapes of fracture curves and spherulite boundaries makes possible to generalize the respective theories to the case of coalescence of two spreading processes of growth, disturbances or excitations. The geometrical shape of a coalescence curve depends on three parametres: the distance of their spreading centres, the relation of spreading velocities and the time delay of the spreading. According to the character of the process, the shielding effect may take place. In this case, the coalescence curve is composed of two parts which are to be described separately.

## Coalescence Processes

Coalescence process is a phenomenon where the final structure (morphology) is a result of two elementary disturbances or structures spreading or growing in all directions from two centers, A and B (Fig.1). Loci of contact of both spreading fronts form a coalescence surface (three-dimensional case) or a coalescence curve (coalescence in a plane). From an analysis of the coalescence formations it is possible to estimate ex post some important parameters of the process.

Typical examples of the coalescence process in polymers are the formation of fracture curves on fracture surfaces and spherulitic growth during crystallization. The general rules of the coalescence process are responsible for the fact that such widely different processes as mentioned above may result in a very similar shape of coalescence curves (Fig.2). This similarity is the obvious reason of several mistakes in literature where the authors tried to prove the existence of spherulites in amorphous polymers, e.g. in the polymethylmethacrylate used for aircraft windows. The knowledge of the character of coalescence process is important not only for the identification of morphology: it makes possible additional deductions concerning the structure, e.g., growth characteristics of particular formations and sometimes even the final properties of the material. In this paper we restrict our-selves to a two-dimensional analysis of the coalescence process (curves on fracture surfaces, the growth of spherulites in a thin layer). A generalization to three dimensions is not difficult (LEDNICKY 1977) because of the axial symmetry with respect to the straight line connecting centers A and B.



Fig.1. Scheme of the formation of coalescence curves

First papers on coalescence processes dealt with a qualitative explanation of the morphology and formation of fracture curves; only some of the curves were interpreted in mathematical terms (SCHWARZL and STAVERMAN 1956, REGEL 1956, ANDREWS 1959 and 1968) with simplifying assumptions. Classification of all possible kinds of fracture curves was suggested later, after a detailed mathematical description of the process resulting from the interaction of two elementary processes has been developed (LEDNICKY and PELZBAUER 1973). The theory enables one to estimate the acceleration of the fracture front from the parameters of consecutive fracture curves (LEDNICKY and PELZBAUER 1975). The same mathematical treatment was used for the evaluation of relative growth rate of poly (ethylene oxide) spherulites. The method (LEDNICKY 1977) was tested for materials yielding one and/or two types of spherulites growing simultaneously.

Information obtained from the shape of spherulite boundaries proved to be very useful in studying the crystallization process, viz. for the estimation of nucleation kinetics (PAKULA et al. 1979, GALESKI and PIORKOWSKA 1980). The possibility of computer evaluation of crystalline polymeric systems (GALESKI 1981, GALESKI and PIORKOWSKA 1981 and 1983) has been investigated. An analysis of the spherulite boundary shapes (VARGA 1983) based on the same assumptions as that of the coalescence processes of the secondary fractures (LEDNICKY and PELZBAUER 1973) was used to characterize the cylindric crystallization of polypropylene (VARGA 1983).

#### Theory

The general quantitative description of the coalescence process (LEDNICKÝ 1977, LEDNICKÝ and PELZBAUER 1973, VARGA 1983) includes its basic characteristics: two growth processes spreading from two centers separated by a distance d (Fig.1), one of which is delayed by time  $t_0$ ; their velocities are constant (but not necessarily the same). Let us assume that by



Fig.2. Morphological similarity of different coalescence formations

a spherulites of poly(ethylene oxide) POLYOX WRS 35 (twodimensional spherulites grown from the melt at room temperature; non-polarized transmitted light;)b curves on the fracture surface of poly(methyl methacrylate) (metal-coated surface, reflected light). The bar scale represents 100  $\mu$ m. time t the growth front  $f_1$  has spread to the distance

$$r_1 = v_1 \cdot (t + t_0)$$
 (1)

from the point A while the growth  $\operatorname{front} f_2$  has spread to the distance

$$\mathbf{r}_2 = \mathbf{v}_2 \cdot \mathbf{t} \tag{2}$$

from the point B; let

$$a = d - v_1 \cdot t_2$$

be the activation distance (nucleation distance in the case of spherulitic crystallization), i.e. the distance of the front  $f_1$  from center B at the moment  $t_0$  when the front  $f_2$  starts spreading;

$$v = v_1/v_2$$

is the relative growth rate. The coalescence curve C can be then expressed, e.g., in polar coordinates  $\rho,~\phi$  in the form

$$\rho^{2} \frac{1-v^{2}}{d} - 2\rho \left[ V(1-\frac{a}{d}) + \cos \phi \right] + a(2-\frac{a}{d}) = 0$$
 (3)

where  $\rho \equiv r_2$  and  $\phi = 0$  defines the direction from center B to center A. Shapes of theoretical coalescence curves are determined by the three parameters V, a,d of equation (3); the curves can be either open or closed (LEDNICKY and PELZBAUER 1973) (Fig.3).

#### Discussion

Most of the observed coalescence formations (LEDNICKY and PELZBAUER 1973, LEDNICKY 1977, VARGA 1983) are in agreement with the coalescence theory. On the other hand, several shapes not predicted by the theory were observed; closed coalescence curves characterized by a kink at the point where the curve closes during the growth (LEDNICKY and PELZBAUER 1973). This effect was studied in the case of spherulitic crystallization (LEDNICKY 1984) and the shielding effect was proved to be responsible, caused by the newly-grown spherulite. (The main demonstration of the shielding effect are the optical effects in polarized light, Fig.4.) Shielding may take place in the case of fracture surface curves as well (cf. Fig.5 in LEDNICKY and PELZBAUER 1973).

Consequently, the shielding mechanism is to be taken as a general phenomenon and must be considered when evaluating coalescence curves. Mathematical details have been published elsewhere (LEDNICKY 1984). The corresponding coalescence curve then must not be considered as resulting from a single coalescence process; its closing part is influenced by two

582



processes starting respectingly at points B and S (shielding points - Fig.4).

The existence of coalescence processes is not necessarily restricted to either fracture surface curves and spherulite boundaries or to polymeric materials. They can arise wherever two waves interfere, especially when their velocities of spreading are different. The coalescence process has been used for the measurement of fracture speed by marking the proceeding fracture front by letting it interact with ultrasonic shear waves (cf. KERKHOF 1953 and 1956, GREENWOOD 1972, TAKAHASHI et al. 1978 and 1979, TAKAHASHI and HYODO 1981). Owing to its general validity, further applications can be expected.

#### References

ANDREWS, E.H.: J.Appl.Phys. 30, 740 (1959) ANDREWS, E.H.: Fracture in Polymers, Oliver and Boyd, Edinburgh and London 1968 GALESKI, A.: J.Polym.Sci.-Phys. 19, 721 (1981) GALESKI, A. and PIÓRKOWSKA, E., Polym.Bull. 2, 1 (1980) GALESKI, A. and PIÓRKOWSKA, E., J.Polym.Sci.-Phys. 19, 731 (1981)GALESKI, A. and PIÓRKOWSKA, E., Colloid Polym. Sci. 261, 1 (1983) GREENWOOD, J.H., Int.J.Fract.Mech. 8, 183 (1972) KERKHOF, F., Naturwiss. <u>40</u>, 478 (1953) KERKHOF, F., Proc. 3rd Int.Congr. High-Speed Photography, Butterworths, London 1956, p.194 LEDNICKÝ, F., J.Crystal Growth 38, 353 (1977) LEDNICKY, F., J.Crystal Growth, submitted for publication 1984 LEDNICKY, F. and PELZBAUER, Z., Internat.J.Polymeric Mater. 2, 149 (1973) LEDNICKY, F. and PELZBAUER, Z., Internat.J.Polymeric Mater. <u>3</u>, 301 (1975) PAKULA, T., GALESKI, A., PIÓRKOWSKA, E. and KRYSZEWSKI, M., Polym.Bull. 1, 275 (1979) REGEL, V.R., Zh.Tekh.Phys. 26, 359 (1956) SCHWARZL, F. and STAVERMAN, A.J., Die Physik der Hochpolymeren, Bd.IV, H.A.Stuart, Ed., Springer, Berlin 1956 TAKAHASHI, K. and HYODO, S., J.Macromol.Sci.-Phys. B 19, 695 (1981) TAKAHASHI, K., KIMURA, M. and HYODO, S., J.Mater.Sci. 13, 2718 (1978)TAKAHASHI, K., NAGAI, A., MIYAUCHI, Y. and HYODO, S., J.Appl. Phys. 50, 4451 (1972) VARGA, J., Angew.Makromol.Chem. 112, 161 (1983) VARGA, J., Angew.Makromol.Chem. 112, 191 (1983)

Accepted March 30, 1984